

Into	rview	Sum	ma	r
INIE	rview	' Sulli	IIIa	, v

Application No. **09/040,518**

Applicant(s)

Karatzas et al.

Examiner

Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D. (3)
(2) Paul Clark (617)428-7002 (4)
Date of Interview Jan 17, 2002
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) e) \(\omega \) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None
Identification of prior art discussed: NONE
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)\square$ was reached. $g)\square$ was not reached. $h)\boxtimes$ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
On January 17, 2002, the Attorney left a message for the Examiner indicating that he would like to fax in a reply to the
final Office Action with a declaration. The Attorney stated that the Examiner agreed to fully consider their amendment
and declaration. The Attorney further indicated that he would like the appropriate fax number for submission of the
response and would like to set up an interview. On January 18th, the Examiner left a message for the Attorney giving him the fax number for submission of after final responses (703) 872-9307 and suggesting an interview
after February 4th, as the Examiner will be out of the office from January 27th through February 3rd. The Examiner
further clarified that in the earlier phone conversation she only agreed to conduct an interview, but she did not agree to
consider a declaration after final. The Examiner only agreed to discuss the contents of the declaration in an interview.
After final submissions of declarations are not considered timely filed.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Anne-Marie Baker PATENT EXAMINER

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.